arXiv:math/0406397v2 [math.DG] 13 Jul 2005

Remark on holonomy groups of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds of signature (2,n+2)

Anton S. Galaev [*]

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We consider one type of weakly-irreducible not irreducible subalgebras of $\mathfrak{so}(2,n+2)$. Each Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{h}}$ of this type is uniquely defined by the associated subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}\subset\mathfrak{so}(n)$. For any $\mathfrak{h}\subset\mathfrak{so}(n)$ we realize $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{h}}$ as the holonomy algebra of a pseudo-Riemannian manifold of signature $(2,n+2)$. This shows the principal difference from the case of Lorentzian manifolds, where the analogous subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}\subset\mathfrak{so}(n)$ associated to the holonomy algebra has to be the holonomy algebra of a Riemannian manifold.

1 Introduction

The classification of connected holonomy groups for Riemannian manifolds is well known, see [4] or [16] for the history. For general pseudo-Riemannian manifolds the corresponding problem is completely solved only for the Lorentzian case, this was done recently, see [3, 18, 19, 20, 6]. The Wu theorem (see [22]) reduces the classification problem for connected holonomy groups of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds to the classification of connected weakly-irreducible holonomy groups, i.e. groups that do not preserve any nondegenerate proper subspace of the tangent space. In [5] M. Berger gave a list of possible connected irreducible holonomy groups for pseudo-Riemannian manifolds. Thus we are left with connected weakly-irreducible not irreducible groups. Such Lie subgroups $G\subset SO(1,n+1)$ were classified and divided into 4 types by L. Berard Bergery and A. Ikemakhen in [3], where to each G was associated a connected Lie subgroup $H\subset SO(n)$, see also [11]. In [18, 19, 20] T. Leistner proved that the subgroup $H\subset SO(n)$ associated to the holonomy group of a Lorentzian manifold must be the holonomy group of a Riemannian manifold. In [13] metrics for groups of each type with the given connected holonomy group of a Riemannian manifold as the orthogonal part were constructed.

---

[*] EMail: `galaev@mathematik.hu-berlin.de`

There are some partial results for holonomy groups of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds of signature $(2, n+2)$. In 1998 A. Ikemakhen classified connected weakly-irreducible subgroups of $SO(2, n+2)$ that preserve an isotropic plane and satisfy an additional condition, see [15]. To each such group was associated a connected subgroup $H \subset SO(n)$. In [12] for even n were classified connected weakly-irreducible not irreducible subgroups of $SU(1, \frac{n}{2}+1) \subset SO(2, n+2)$ and to each such group was associated a connected subgroup $H \subset U(\frac{n}{2}) \subset SO(n)$. The question is *which subgroups $H \subset SO(n)$ can be associated to the holonomy groups?* In [15] were constructed some examples of metrics, in particular it was shown that the subgroup $H \subset SO(n)$ associated to the holonomy group *has not to be the holonomy group of a Riemannian manifold.* This shows the principal difference between our case and the case of Lorentzian manifolds.

Since we consider only connected subgroups of $SO(2, n+2)$, we can do everything in terms of its Lie algebras. In the present paper we consider one type of weakly-irreducible not irreducible subalgebras of $\mathfrak{so}(2, n+2)$. Each Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{h}}$ of this type is uniquely defined by the associated subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$. For any $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$ we construct a metric on $\mathbb{R}^{n+4}$ and show that $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{h}}$ is the holonomy algebra of this metric at the origin, i.e. *any Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{h}}$ can be realized as the holonomy algebra of a pseudo-Riemannian manifold of signature $(2, n+2)$.*

In another paper we will construct analogous metrics for the Lie groups considered in [12].

I am grateful to Helga Baum and M.V. Losik for remarks on this paper.

2 Main theorem

Let $\mathbb{R}^{2,n+2}$ be an $n+4$-dimensional real vector space endowed with a symmetric bilinear form η of signature $(2, n+2)$. We fix a basis $p_1, p_2, e_1, ..., e_n, q_1, q_2$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2,n+2}$ such that the Gram matrix of η has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & E_n & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where E_n is the n-dimensional identity matrix.

Let $\mathfrak{so}(2, n+2)_{<p_1,p_2>} \subset \mathfrak{so}(2, n+2)$ be the subalgebra that preserves the isotropic plane $\operatorname{span}\{p_1, p_2\}$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(2, n+2)_{<p_1,p_2>}$ can be identified with the following matrix algebra,

$$\mathfrak{so}(2,n+2)_{<p_1,p_2>} = \left\{ \left. \begin{pmatrix} B & \begin{matrix}-X^t\\ -Y^t\end{matrix} & \begin{matrix}0 & -c\\ c & 0\end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix}0 & 0\end{matrix} & A & \begin{matrix}X & Y\end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix}0 & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{matrix} & \begin{matrix}0\\ 0\end{matrix} & -B^t \end{pmatrix} \right| B \in \mathfrak{gl}(2), A \in \mathfrak{so}(n), X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n, c \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

This gives us a decomposition of $\mathfrak{so}(2,n+2)_{<p_1,p_2>}$ into the direct sum of the vector subspaces. We will denote by $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{so}(n)}$ the projection map onto $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ with respect to this decomposition. For any subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$ define the Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{h}} = \left\{ \left. \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -X^t & 0 & -c \\ 0 & 0 & -Y^t & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A & X & Y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right| A \in \mathfrak{h}, X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n, c \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \subset \mathfrak{so}(2,n+2)_{<p_1,p_2>}.$$

It is easy to see that the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{h}} \subset \mathfrak{so}(2,n+2)_{<p_1,p_2>}$ is weakly-irreducible. The subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} = \mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{so}(n)}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{h}}) \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$ is called *the orthogonal part* of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{h}}$.

Theorem 1 *For any subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$ the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{h}}$ can be realized as the holonomy algebra of a pseudo-Riemannian manifold of signature $(2, n+2)$.*

Proof. For any $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$ we will construct a metric in the neighborhood of the origin $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2,n+2}$ and will show that the holonomy algebra of this metric at the point 0 is exactly $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{h}}$.
Construction of the metrics. Let $\dim \mathfrak{h} = N$ and let $A_1, \dots, A_N$ be a basis of the vector space $\mathfrak{h}$. Let $A^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}\alpha}$ be the element of the matrix A_α that lies at the intersection of the row $\hat{i} - 2$ and the column $\hat{j} - 2$, where $3 \le \hat{i}, \hat{j} \le n+2$ and $1 \le \alpha \le N$.
We will always assume that the indices a, b, c, d, f run from 1 to $n+4$, the indices $\hat{i}, \hat{j}, \hat{k}, \hat{l}$ run from 3 to $n+2$, and the indices α, β, γ run from 1 to N. We will use the Einstein rule for sums. In particular, let C^1,...,$C^{\mathbf{max}\{n+4,N\}}$ and D_1,...,$D_{\mathbf{max}\{n+4,N\}}$ be some numbers or functions, then $C^a D_a = C^1 D_1 + \cdots + C^{n+4} D_{n+4}$, $C^{\hat{i}} D_{\hat{i}} = C^3 D_3 + \cdots + C^{n+2} D_{n+2}$ and $C^\alpha D_\alpha = C^1 D_1 + \cdots + C^N D_N$.
Let (x^a) be the canonical coordinates on R^{n+4}. Consider the following metric on R^{n+4}

$$g = 2dx^1 dx^{n+3} + 2dx^2 dx^{n+4} + \sum_{\hat{i}=3}^{n+2} (dx^{\hat{i}})^2 + 2\sum_{\hat{i}=3}^{n+2} u^{\hat{i}} dx^{\hat{i}} dx^{n+4} + f(dx^{n+3})^2 + f(dx^{n+3})^2,$$

where

$$u^{\hat{i}} = A^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}\alpha} x^{\hat{j}} (x^{n+3})^\alpha \text{ and } f = \sum_{\hat{i}=3}^{n+2} (x^{\hat{i}})^2.$$

Since $g_0=\eta$, we identify the tangent space to $\mathbb{R}^{n+4}$ at 0 with the vector space $\mathbb{R}^{2,n+2}$. Denote by $\mathfrak{hol}_0$ the holonomy algebra of this metric at the point $0\in\mathbb{R}^{n+4}$. We wont to prove that $\mathfrak{hol}_0=\mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{h}}$. Since the coefficients of the metric are polynomial functions, the Levi-Civita connection given by g is analytic and the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{hol}_0$ is generated by the operators

$$R(X,Y)_0, \nabla R(X,Y;Z_1)_0, \nabla^2 R(X,Y;Z_1;Z_2)_0, ... \in \mathfrak{so}(2,n+2),$$

where $\nabla^r R(X,Y;Z_1;...;Z_r)=(\nabla_{Z_r}\cdots\nabla_{Z_1}R)(X,Y)$ and X, Y, Z_1, Z_2,... are vector fields in a neighborhood of the point 0.

One can compute the following Christoffel symbols

$$\Gamma^{\hat i}_{\hat j\hat k}=0, \tag{1}$$

$$\Gamma^{\hat i}_{\hat j n+3}=0, \tag{2}$$

$$\Gamma^{\hat i}_{\hat j n+4}=A^{\hat i}_{\hat j\alpha}(x^{n+3})^{\alpha}, \tag{3}$$

$$\Gamma^{n+3}_{ab}=\Gamma^{n+4}_{ab}=0, \tag{4}$$

$$\Gamma^{a}_{1b}=\Gamma^{a}_{2b}=0, \tag{5}$$

$$\Gamma^{\hat i}_{n+3\,n+3}=\Gamma^{\hat i}_{n+4\,n+4}=-x^{\hat i}. \tag{6}$$

For $r\geq 0$ let $R^a_{b,c,d;f_1;\dots;f_r}$ be the functions such that $\nabla^r R(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^c},\frac{\partial}{\partial x^d};\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{f_1}};\cdots;\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{f_r}})\frac{\partial}{\partial x^b}=R^a_{b,c,d;f_1;\dots;f_r}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}$. For components of the curvature tensor we have

$$R^{\hat i}_{\hat j n+3\,n+4}=\alpha A^{\hat i}_{\hat j\alpha}(x^{n+3})^{\alpha-1};\, R^{\hat i}_{\hat j ab}=0,\ \text{if } \{a\}\cup\{b\}\neq\{n+3,n+4\}, \tag{7}$$

$$R^{n+3}_{abc}=R^{n+4}_{abc}=0, \tag{8}$$

$$R^{a}_{bcd}=0,\ \text{if 1 or } 2\in\{b\}\cup\{c\}\cup\{d\}, \tag{9}$$

$$R^{1}_{\hat i\hat i n+3}=1, \tag{10}$$

$$R^{2}_{\hat i\hat i n+4}=1, \tag{11}$$

$$R^{1}_{n+4\,\hat i\hat j}=-A^{\hat j}_{\hat i 1}. \tag{12}$$

Lemma 1 *We have*

$$\Gamma^a_{bf}R^f_{cdg}=\Gamma^a_{b\hat i}R^{\hat i}_{cdg},$$

$$\Gamma^f_{ba}R^c_{fdg}=\Gamma^{\hat i}_{ba}R^c_{\hat i dg}$$

and we have two equalities analogous to the second one.

Proof. Proof of the lemma follows from (4), (5), (8) and (9). $\square$

Lemma 2 *For any* $r\geq 0$ *we have*

$$R^{\hat i}_{\hat j cd;f_1;\dots;f_r;1}=0,$$

$$R^{\hat i}_{\hat j cd;f_1;\dots;f_r;2}=0.$$

Proof. Proof of the lemma follows from (5) and from the fact that the coefficients of the metric do not depend on x^1 and x^2. $\square$

Since the coefficients of the metric do not depend on x^{n+4}, we have

$$\frac{\partial R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}bc;f_1;\dots;f_r}}{\partial x^{n+4}} = 0 \tag{13}$$

Lemma 3 *Let $r \geq 0$ be an integer. Then*

(i) $\frac{\partial R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}bc;f_1;\dots;f_r}}{\partial x^{\hat{k}}} = 0$;

(ii) $R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}bc;f_1;\dots;f_r;\hat{k}} = 0$;

(iii) *Let b, c $f_1,\dots,f_r$ be fixed. If $R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}bc;f_1;\dots;f_r} = 0$, then $R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}bc;f_1;\dots;f_r;f} = 0$.*

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction over r.

Let $r = 0$. We have

$$R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}bc;\hat{k}} = \frac{\partial R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}bc}}{\partial x^{\hat{k}}} + \Gamma^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{k}\hat{l}} R^{\hat{l}}_{\hat{j}bc} - \Gamma^{\hat{l}}_{\hat{k}\hat{j}} R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{l}bc} - \Gamma^{\hat{l}}_{\hat{k}b} R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}\hat{l}c} - \Gamma^{\hat{l}}_{\hat{k}c} R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}b\hat{l}}.$$

From (1) and (7) it follows that $R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}bc;\hat{k}} = 0$, this proves part (ii) for $r = 0$. Part (i) for $r = 0$ follows from (7).

From

$$R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}bc;\hat{k}} = \frac{\partial R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}bc}}{\partial x^{\hat{k}}} + \Gamma^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{k}\hat{l}} R^{\hat{l}}_{\hat{j}bc} - \Gamma^{\hat{l}}_{\hat{k}\hat{j}} R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{l}bc} - \Gamma^{\hat{l}}_{\hat{k}b} R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}\hat{l}c} - \Gamma^{\hat{l}}_{\hat{k}c} R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}b\hat{l}} = 0,$$

$$R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}bc;n+3} = \frac{\partial R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}bc}}{\partial x^{n+3}} + \Gamma^{\hat{i}}_{n+3\,\hat{l}} R^{\hat{l}}_{\hat{j}bc} - \Gamma^{\hat{l}}_{n+3\,\hat{j}} R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{l}bc} - \Gamma^{\hat{l}}_{n+3\,b} R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}\hat{l}c} - \Gamma^{\hat{l}}_{n+3\,c} R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}b\hat{l}} = 0$$

and

$$R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}bc;n+4} = \frac{\partial R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}bc}}{\partial x^{n+4}} + \Gamma^{\hat{i}}_{n+4\,\hat{l}} R^{\hat{l}}_{\hat{j}bc} - \Gamma^{\hat{l}}_{n+4\,\hat{j}} R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{l}bc} - \Gamma^{\hat{l}}_{n+4\,b} R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}\hat{l}c} - \Gamma^{\hat{l}}_{n+4\,c} R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}b\hat{l}} = 0$$

follows part (iii) for $r = 0$.

Now fix $s > 0$ and assume that the theorem is true for all $r < s$. We must prove the theorem for $r = s$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}bc;f_1;\dots;f_{r-1};\hat{k}} = \frac{\partial R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}bc;f_1;\dots;f_{r-1}}}{\partial x^{\hat{k}}} \quad & + \Gamma^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{k}\hat{l}} R^{\hat{l}}_{\hat{j}bc;f_1;\dots;f_{r-1}} - \Gamma^{\hat{l}}_{\hat{k}\hat{j}} R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{l}bc;f_1;\dots;f_{r-1}} - \Gamma^{\hat{l}}_{\hat{k}b} R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}\hat{l}c;f_1;\dots;f_{r-1}} \\ & - \Gamma^{\hat{l}}_{\hat{k}c} R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}b\hat{l};f_1;\dots;f_{r-1}} - \Gamma^{\hat{l}}_{\hat{k}f_1} R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}bc;\hat{l};\dots;f_{r-1}} - \dots - \Gamma^{\hat{l}}_{\hat{k}f_{r-1}} R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}bc;f_1;\dots;\hat{l}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}bc;f_1;\dots;f_{r-1};n+3} = \frac{\partial R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}bc;f_1;\dots;f_{r-1}}}{\partial x^{n+3}} \quad & + \Gamma^{\hat{i}}_{n+3\,\hat{l}} R^{\hat{l}}_{\hat{j}bc;f_1;\dots;f_{r-1}} - \Gamma^{\hat{l}}_{n+3\,\hat{j}} R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{l}bc;f_1;\dots;f_{r-1}} - \Gamma^{\hat{l}}_{n+3\,b} R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}\hat{l}c;f_1;\dots;f_{r-1}} \\ & - \Gamma^{\hat{l}}_{n+3\,c} R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}b\hat{l};f_1;\dots;f_{r-1}} - \Gamma^{\hat{l}}_{n+3\,f_1} R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}bc;\hat{l};\dots;f_{r-1}} - \dots - \Gamma^{\hat{l}}_{n+3\,f_{r-1}} R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}bc;f_1;\dots;\hat{l}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}bc;f_1;\dots;f_{r-1};n+4} = \frac{\partial R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}bc;f_1;\dots;f_{r-1}}}{\partial x^{n+4}} & +\Gamma^{\hat{i}}_{n+4\,\hat{l}}R^{\hat{l}}_{\hat{j}bc;f_1;\dots;f_{r-1}} - \Gamma^{\hat{l}}_{n+4\,\hat{j}}R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{l}bc;f_1;\dots;f_{r-1}} - \Gamma^{\hat{l}}_{n+4\,b}R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}\hat{l}c;f_1;\dots;f_{r-1}} \\ & -\Gamma^{\hat{l}}_{n+4\,c}R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}b\hat{l};f_1;\dots;f_{r-1}} - \Gamma^{\hat{l}}_{n+4\,f_1}R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}bc;\hat{l};\dots;f_{r-1}} - \dots - \Gamma^{\hat{l}}_{n+4\,f_{r-1}}R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}bc;f_1;\dots;\hat{l}}. \end{aligned}$$

From the induction hypothesis for parts (ii) and (iii) it follows that $R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}bc;f_1;\dots;f_{r-1}} = 0$, if at least one of the numbers f_1,...,f_{r-1} belongs to the interval $[3,...,n+2]$. From this, (7), (3), (2) and (13) it follows that

$$R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}bc;f_1;\dots;f_{r-1};\hat{k}} = 0, \tag{14}$$

$$R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}bc;f_1;\dots;f_{r-1};n+3} = \frac{\partial R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}bc;f_1;\dots;f_{r-1}}}{\partial x^{n+3}}, \tag{15}$$

$$R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}bc;f_1;\dots;f_{r-1};n+4} = \Gamma^{\hat{i}}_{n+4\,\hat{l}}R^{\hat{l}}_{\hat{j}bc;f_1;\dots;f_{r-1}} - \Gamma^{\hat{l}}_{n+4\,\hat{j}}R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{l}bc;f_1;\dots;f_{r-1}} \tag{16}$$

Now the proof of the lemma is obvious. □

We see now that

$$\text{if } R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}bc;f_1;\dots;f_r} \neq 0, \text{ then } b, c, f_1, ..., f_r \in \{n+3, n+4\} \text{ and } b \neq c. \tag{17}$$

We also see that

$$R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}n+3\,n+4;f_1;\dots;f_{r-1};n+3} = \frac{\partial R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}n+3\,n+4;f_1;\dots;f_{r-1}}}{\partial x^{n+3}}, \tag{18}$$

$$R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{j}n+3\,n+4;f_1;\dots;f_{r-1};n+4} = \Gamma^{\hat{i}}_{n+4\,\hat{l}}R^{\hat{l}}_{\hat{j}n+3\,n+4;f_1;\dots;f_{r-1}} - \Gamma^{\hat{l}}_{n+4\,\hat{j}}R^{\hat{i}}_{\hat{l}n+3\,n+4;f_1;\dots;f_{r-1}}. \tag{19}$$

From this and (7) it follows that

$$\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{so}(n)}(\nabla^{r-1}R(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+3}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+4}}; \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+3}}; \cdots ; \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+3}}}_{r-1 \text{ times}})_0) = r!A_r. \tag{20}$$

From (11), (10), (12) and (20) it follows that $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{h}} \subset \mathfrak{hol}_0$.

Lemma 4 $\mathfrak{hol}_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{h}}$.

Proof. From (9) it follows that $\mathfrak{hol}_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{so}(n)}$. We must proof that the projection of $\mathfrak{hol}_0$ to $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ is $\mathfrak{h}$. From (17) it follows that it is enough to prove that

$$\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{so}(n)}(\nabla^r R(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+3}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+4}}; \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{f_1}}; \cdots ; \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{f_r}})_0) \in \mathfrak{h} \text{ for } f_1, ..., f_r \in \{n+3, n+4\}, r \geq 0.$$

We prove this by induction over r. For $r = 0$ the statement follows from (7).

Now fix $s > 0$ and assume that the theorem is true for all $r < s$. We must prove the theorem for $r = s$.

If $f_1 = \cdots = f_r = n+3$, than the statement follows from (20).
Suppose that $f_r = n+4$, than from (3) and (19) it follows that

$$\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{so}(n)}(\nabla^r R(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+3}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+4}}; \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{f_1}}; \cdots ; \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{f_{r-1}}}; \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+4}})_0) = 0. \tag{21}$$

Suppose that for some $r_0 < r$ we have $f_{r_0} = n+4$, $f_{r_0+1} = \cdots = f_r = n+3$. From (3), (18) and (19) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{so}(n)}(\nabla^r R(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+3}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+4}}; \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{f_1}}; \cdots ; \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{f_{r_0-1}}}; \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+4}}; \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+3}}; \cdots ; \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+3}}}_{r-r_0 \text{ times}})_0) \\ &= (r-r_0)![A_{r-r_0}, \mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{so}(n)}(\nabla^{r_0-1} R(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+3}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n+4}}; \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{f_1}}; \cdots ; \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{f_{r_0-1}}})_0)].\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

The statement follows from the induction hypotheses. The lemma is proved. □
Thus we see that

$$\mathfrak{hol}_0 = \mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{h}}.$$

□

References

[1] D.V. Alekseevsky, *Riemannian manifolds with exceptional holonomy groups*, Funksional Anal. i Prilozhen. 2 (2), 1-10, 1968.

[2] W. Ambrose, I.M. Singer *A theorem on holonomy*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 79(1953), 428-443.

[3] L. Berard Bergery, A. Ikemakhen, *On the Holonomy of Lorentzian Manifolds*, Proceeding of symposia in pure math., volume 54, 27 – 40, 1993.

[4] A.L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1987.

[5] M.Berger, *Sur les groupers d'holonomie des variétés àconnexion affine et des variétés riemanniennes*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 83 (1955), 279-330.

[6] C. Boubel, *Sur l'holonomie des variétés pseudo-riemanniennes*, PhD thesis, Université Henri Poincaré, Nancy, 2000.

[7] A. Borel, A. Lichnerowicz, *Groupes d'holonomie des variétés riemanniennes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 234 (1952), 279-300.

[8] R. Bryant, *Metrics with exceptional holonomy*, Ann. of Math. (2) 126 (1987), 525-576.

[9] A.J. Di Scala, C.Olmos, *The geometry of homogeneous submanifolds of hyperbolic space*, Math. Z. 237, 199 – 209 (2001).

[10] A.S. Galaev, *The spaces of curvature tensors for holonomy algebras of Lorentzian manifolds*, arXiv:math.DG/0304407, 2003.

[11] A.S. Galaev, *Isometry groups of Lobachevskian spaces, similarity transformation groups of Euclidean spaces and Lorentzian holonomy groups*, arXiv:math.DG/0404426, 2004.

[12] A.S. Galaev, *Towards a classification of holonomy groups for pseudo-Kahlerian manifolds of index 2. Part I: Connected weakly-irreducible not irreducible subgroups of* $SU(1, n+1)$, arXiv:math.DG/0405098, 2004.

[13] A.S. Galaev, *Metrics that realize all types of Lorentzian holonomy algebras*, arXiv:math.DG/0502575, 2005.

[14] A. Ikemakhen, *Examples of indecomposable non-irreducible Lorentzian manifolds*, Ann. Sci. Math. Qu*é*bec 20(1996), no. 1, 53-66.

[15] A. Ikemakhen, *Sur l'holonomie des variétés pseudo-riemanniennes de signature (2,2+n)*, 1998.

[16] D. Joyce, *Compact manifolds with special holonomy*, Oxford University Press, 2000.

[17] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu, *Foundations of differential geometry*, volume 1, Wiley, New York, 1963.

[18] T. Leistner, *Berger algebras, weak-Berger algebras and Lorentzian holonomy*, sfb 288-preprint no. 567, 2002.

[19] T. Leistner, *Towards a classification of Lorentzian holonomy groups*, arXiv: math.DG/0305139, 2003.

[20] T. Leistner, *Towards a classification of Lorentzian holonomy groups. Part II: Semisimple, non-simple weak-Berger algebras*, arXiv:math.DG/0309274, 2003.

[21] E.B. Vinberg, A.L. Onishchik, *Seminar on Lie groups and algebraic groups*, Moscow, 1995.

[22] H. Wu, *Holonomy groups of indefinite metrics*, Pacific journal of math., 20, 351 – 382, 1967.